


North Carolina Department of Transportation



I-95 Planning and Finance Study

STIP Project No. I-5133

Administrative Action Environmental Assessment

January 2012

*Submitted Pursuant to the
National Environmental Policy Act
42 USC 4332(2)(c)
US Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
and
North Carolina Department of Transportation*



Sixty-five copies of this document were printed at a cost of \$30.56 each.

North Carolina Department of Transportation



I-95 Planning and Finance Study


STIP Project No. I-5133


Administrative Action
Environmental Assessment

**Submitted Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act
42 USC 4332(2)(c)**

**US Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
and**

North Carolina Department of Transportation

1/5/12 
Date _____ Rob Hanson, PE
Project Development Unit Head, Eastern Region
North Carolina Department of Transportation
Project Development and Environmental Analysis

1/6/12 
Date _____ *for* John Sullivan, III, PE
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration

North Carolina Department of Transportation



I-95 Planning and Finance Study

STIP Project No. I-5133

Administrative Action
Environmental Assessment

Submitted Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act
42 USC 4332(2)(c)

US Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
and
North Carolina Department of Transportation

Document Prepared For:
North Carolina Department of Transportation

1-5-2012

Date

Kristine O'Connor, PE
Project Development Engineer, Eastern Region
North Carolina Department of Transportation
Project Development and Environmental Analysis

Date

1/5/2012

Derrick Lewis, PE
Feasibility Studies Unit Head
North Carolina Department of Transportation
Program Development Branch

Date

1-5-2012

Charles Cox, PE
Project Development Group Supervisor
North Carolina Department of Transportation
Project Development and Environmental Analysis



North Carolina Department of Transportation



I-95 Planning and Finance Study

STIP Project No. I-5133


Administrative Action
Environmental Assessment

Submitted Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act
42 USC 4332(2)(c)


US Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
and

North Carolina Department of Transportation

Document Prepared By:
Atkins and Michael Baker Engineering

1/6/2012 
Date Mark Boggs, PE
Project Manager
Atkins



1/6/2012 
Date Craig Young, PE
Project Manager
Michael Baker Engineering




Table of Contents

1	PURPOSE AND NEED FOR I-95 IMPROVEMENTS	1-1
1.1	Proposed Action	1-1
1.2	Existing Conditions	1-2
1.2.1	The Role of I-95 in the Transportation Network	1-2
1.2.2	Roadway Geometric Deficiencies.....	1-2
1.2.3	Bridge and Pavement Deficiencies	1-4
1.2.4	Safety Issues	1-6
1.2.5	Existing Traffic Conditions	1-6
1.3	Future Needs.....	1-8
1.3.1	Future Bridge and Pavement Needs.....	1-8
1.3.2	Future Traffic Conditions	1-8
1.3.3	Funding Issues	1-9
1.4	I-95 Project Purpose and Need	1-9
1.5	Alternatives Evaluation Process	1-10
1.6	Traffic Operations Under No Action and Improved I-95 Scenarios	1-10
1.6.1	Traffic Forecast and Operations Analysis Methodology	1-11
1.6.2	Traffic Volumes.....	1-12
1.6.3	No Action Alternative.....	1-13
1.6.4	Build Preferred Design Concept and Scope – Non-Toll Scenario.....	1-14
1.6.5	Build Preferred Design Concept and Scope –Toll Scenario.....	1-16
1.6.6	Comparison of Anticipated Traffic Operating Conditions	1-18
1.7	Capital Cost Estimate for the Refined Preferred Design Concept and Scope	1-20
2	FINANCING AND PHASING.....	2-1
2.1	Cost Estimates for the Preferred Design Concept and Scope	2-1
2.2	Currently Available Funding for I-95 Improvements	2-1
2.2.1	Funding Overview	2-1
2.2.2	Project Funding in North Carolina.....	2-2
2.2.3	Current I-95 Corridor Project Funding	2-3
2.2.4	Conclusions Regarding STIP and Toll Funding Sufficiency.....	2-3
2.3	Evaluation of Potential Options for Funding the Proposed Project	2-3
2.4	A Plan for Financing the I-95 Improvement Program by Implementing Tolls on the Facility	2-6
2.4.1	Collection Methods, Rates, Accounts, Customer Service	2-6

2.4.2	Collection Concepts.....	2-7
2.4.3	Roadside Infrastructure.....	2-8
2.4.4	Toll Interoperability.....	2-8
2.4.5	Recommended Tolling Approach.....	2-9
2.5	Phasing Plan.....	2-9
2.5.1	Phasing Approach.....	2-10
2.5.1.1	Phase 1 (NC 211 at MM 20 to I-40 at MM 81).....	2-11
2.5.1.2	Phase 2 (Remainder of Corridor).....	2-11
2.5.1.3	Toll Zone Locations and Toll Rates.....	2-12
2.5.2	Revenue Projections.....	2-13
2.6	Finance Plan.....	2-14
2.6.1	Phase 1 (NC 211 at MM 20 to I-40 at MM 81).....	2-14
2.6.2	Phase 2 (Remainder of Corridor).....	2-14
2.7	Summary.....	2-15
3	POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.....	3-1
3.1	Consistency with Transportation Plans.....	3-1
3.1.1	Statewide Transportation Improvement Program.....	3-2
3.1.2	Robeson County Comprehensive Transportation Plan.....	3-2
3.1.3	Fayetteville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization 2035 Long Range Transportation Plan.....	3-3
3.1.4	Mid-Carolina Rural Planning Organization Transportation Improvement Program.....	3-3
3.1.5	Johnston County Comprehensive Transportation Plan.....	3-4
3.1.6	Upper Coastal Plain Rural Planning Organization.....	3-4
3.1.7	Nash County Comprehensive Transportation Plan.....	3-4
3.2	Human Environment.....	3-4
3.2.1	Environmental Justice.....	3-5
3.2.1.1	Identification of Environmental Justice Populations along the I-95 Corridor.....	3-6
3.2.1.2	Potential Tolling Impacts to EJ Communities.....	3-9
3.2.1.2.1	Potential Income Equity Issues.....	3-9
3.2.1.2.2	Toll Divergence.....	3-13
3.2.1.2.3	Potential Mitigation.....	3-13
3.2.1.3	Limited English Proficiency Communities.....	3-14
3.2.2	Community Resources.....	3-15

3.2.3	Recreational Resources.....	3-18
3.2.3.1	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Recreational Resources.....	3-18
3.2.3.2	Bicycle Routes.....	3-19
3.2.4	Voluntary Agricultural Districts	3-19
3.3	Cultural Environment	3-20
3.3.1	Introduction.....	3-20
3.3.2	Existing NRHP Listed Sites.....	3-21
3.3.3	Windshield Surveys of Potential Cultural Resources	3-22
3.4	Natural Environment	3-22
3.4.1	Water Resources	3-22
3.4.1.1	Stream and Wetland Evaluations.....	3-22
3.4.1.2	Wild and Scenic Rivers	3-24
3.4.1.3	Other Water Resource Data.....	3-25
3.4.2	Protected Species	3-25
3.4.2.1	Threatened and Endangered Species	3-25
3.4.2.2	Bald Eagle and Golden Eagle Protection Act.....	3-26
3.4.2.3	Endangered Species Act Candidate Species.....	3-26
3.5	Physical Environment.....	3-27
3.5.1	Air Quality	3-27
3.5.1.1	Mobile Source Air Toxics (MSATS)	3-30
3.5.1.2	National Ambient Air Quality Standards Conformity.....	3-30
3.5.1.3	PM 2.5 Hot Spot Analyses	3-31
3.5.1.4	CO Hot Spot Analyses	3-32
3.5.2	Noise	3-32
3.5.3	Hazardous Waste Sites and Other Environmental Factors	3-39
3.6	Indirect and Cumulative Effects	3-39
3.6.1	Indirect Effects.....	3-39
3.6.2	Cumulative Effects	3-40
3.6.2.1	Cumulative Effects to the Human and Natural Environment.....	3-40
3.6.2.2	Recurring Effects to Communities	3-40
4	PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION	4-1
4.1	Agency Coordination.....	4-1
4.2	Public Involvement.....	4-2
4.2.1	Local Officials Roundtable Meetings	4-3

4.2.2	Public Workshops	4-4
4.2.3	Brochures	4-5
4.2.4	Project Hotline	4-6
4.2.5	Website and Social Media Applications	4-7
4.2.5.1	Website (www.driving95.com).....	4-7
4.2.5.2	Twitter (www.twitter.com/driving95)	4-7
4.2.5.3	Facebook (www.facebook.com/driving95)	4-7
4.2.5.4	YouTube (www.youtube.com/driving95).....	4-7
4.2.5.5	Media Coverage.....	4-7
5	SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS	5-1
5.1	Proposed Action	5-1
5.2	Project Purpose and Need.....	5-1
5.3	Alternatives	5-2
5.4	Traffic Operations	5-3
5.4.1	Comparison of Scenarios	5-4
5.4.2	Toll Zone Diversion Route Analysis	5-4
5.5	Funding and Finance	5-4
5.6	Potential Environmental Effects.....	5-5
5.6.1	Consistency with Transportation Plans.....	5-6
5.6.2	Human Environment.....	5-6
5.6.2.1	Environmental Justice and Limited English Proficiency	5-6
5.6.2.2	Community Resources	5-8
5.6.2.3	Recreational Resources	5-8
5.6.2.4	Voluntary Agricultural Districts.....	5-8
5.6.3	Cultural Environment	5-9
5.6.4	Natural Environment.....	5-9
5.6.4.1	Water Resources.....	5-9
5.6.4.2	Protected Species.....	5-10
5.6.5	Physical Environment	5-10
5.6.5.1	Air Quality.....	5-10
5.6.5.2	Noise.....	5-12
5.6.5.3	Hazardous Waste Sites and Other Environmental Factors	5-12
5.7	Indirect and Cumulative Effects	5-12

5.7.1	Indirect Effects.....	5-12
5.7.2	Cumulative Effects and Recurring Effects	5-12

6	REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	6-1
6.1	References	6-1
6.2	Supporting Documentation.....	6-6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Roadway Geometry Deficiencies	1-22
Figure 1-2	Existing Infrastructure Condition	1-23
Figure 1-3	I-95 Mainline Total Crash Rate	1-24
Figure 1-4	Year 2008 Existing Level of Service.....	1-25
Figure 1-5	Year 2040 No Action Level of Service.....	1-26
Figure 1-6	Year 2040 Build Non-Toll Level of Service.....	1-27
Figure 1-7	Year 2040 Build Toll Level of Service.....	1-28
Figure 2-1	Example Toll Systems	2-16
Figure 2-2	All-Electronic Toll Zone.....	2-17
Figure 2-3	Toll Zone Locations.....	2-18
Figure 2-4	I-95 Improvement Phases	2-19
Figure 2-5	Example Tolloed and Untolloed Trips.....	2-20
Figure 3-1	Project Study Areas	3-42
Figure 3-2	Environmental Justice Map.....	3-43
Figure 3-3	Limited English Proficiency Map.....	3-44
Figure 3-4	Designated Bike Routes.....	3-45
Figure 3-5	Water Resources Map.....	3-46

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1-1	Comparison of Vehicle Miles Traveled by LOS	1-18
Exhibit 1-2	Comparison of Toll Zone Diversion Routes by LOS	1-19
Exhibit 2-1	Revenue Projections	2-13

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1	Existing Bridge Condition	1-4
Table 1-2	Existing Bridge Remaining Life and Deficiencies	1-5
Table 1-3	LOS Classifications and Conditions	1-7
Table 1-4	Programmed Roadway Projects	1-11
Table 1-5	I-95 Mainline AADT Volumes by County	1-12
Table 1-6	Year 2040 No Action Traffic Operations	1-13
Table 1-7	Year 2040 Build Non-Toll Traffic Operations	1-15
Table 1-8	Year 2040 Build Toll Traffic Operations.....	1-17
Table 1-9	Capital Cost Estimate.....	1-21
Table 2-1	Use of NCDOT Appropriations Statewide	2-2
Table 2-2	NCDOT Funding for I-95 Projects FY 2011 – FY 2020	2-3
Table 2-3	Evaluation of Funding Alternatives	2-5
Table 2-4	Phase 1 (NC 211 at MM 20 to I-40 at MM 81) Capital Cost	2-11
Table 2-5	Phase 2 (Remainder of Corridor) Capital Cost	2-12
Table 3-1	Census Tracts Reaching Environmental Justice Screening Criteria (US Census, 2000)	3-7
Table 3-2	Annual Commute Costs as Percent of Annual Median Income for EJ Census Tracts	3-11
Table 3-3	Limited English Proficiency in the Socioeconomic/Environmental Justice Study Area	3-14
Table 3-4	Limited English Proficiency for Other Indo-European or Asian/Pacific Island Language Speakers in the Socioeconomic/Environmental Justice Study Area	3-15
Table 3-5	Potential Community Resources in Community Resource Study Area.....	3-16
Table 3-6	NRHP Listed Sites in the Natural Resource Study Area	3-22
Table 3-7	Federally Protected Species in Counties within the I-95 Natural Resources Study Area	3-26
Table 3-8	National Ambient Air Quality Standards (USEPA, July 19, 2011)	3-28
Table 3-9	Potential Noise Sensitive Areas	3-32
Table 4-1	Citizens Informational Workshop Dates, Locations, and Numbers of Attendees	4-5
Table 5-1	Year 2040 I-95 Mainline Traffic Operations	5-3

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Agency Steering Committee Concurrence Form and Meeting Minutes
- Appendix B Alternatives Development and Evaluation
- Appendix C Finance Analysis
- Appendix D Analysis of Commutes for Environmental Justice and Non-Environmental Justice
Census Tracts by County
- Appendix E Workshop Summaries
- Appendix F Project Brochure